

Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications http://jgaa.info/ vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 313–340 (2017) DOI: 10.7155/igaa.00418

Vertex-Coloring with Defects

P. Angelini¹ M. A. Bekos¹ F. De Luca² W. Didimo² M. Kaufmann¹ S. Kobourov³ F. Montecchiani² C. N. Raftopoulou⁴ V. Roselli⁵ A. Symvonis⁴

¹Wilhelm-Schickhard-Institut für Informatik, Universität Tübingen, Germany
²Dipartimento di Ingegneria, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Italy
³Department of Computer Science, University of Arizona
⁴School of Applied Mathematics & Physical Sciences, NTUA, Greece
⁵Dipartimento di Ingegneria, Università Roma Tre, Italy

Abstract

Defective coloring is a variant of the traditional vertex-coloring in which adjacent vertices are allowed to have the same color, as long as the induced monochromatic components have a certain structure. Due to its important applications, as for example in the bipartisation of graphs, this type of coloring has been extensively studied, mainly with respect to the size, degree, diameter, and acyclicity of the monochromatic components.

We focus on defective colorings with κ colors in which the monochromatic components are acyclic and have small diameter, namely we consider (*edge*, κ)-colorings, in which the monochromatic components have diameter 1, and (*star*, κ)-colorings, in which they have diameter 2.

We prove that the (edge, 3)-coloring problem remains NP-complete even for graphs with maximum vertex-degree 6, hence answering an open question posed by Cowen *et al.* [9], and for planar graphs with maximum vertex-degree 7, and we prove that the (star, 3)-coloring problem is NPcomplete even for planar graphs with bounded maximum vertex-degree. On the other hand, we give linear-time algorithms for testing the existence of (edge, 2)-colorings and of (star, 2)-colorings of partial 2-trees. Finally, we prove that outerpaths, a notable subclass of outerplanar graphs, always admit (star, 2)-colorings.

	Submitted: May 2016	Reviewed: November 2016	Revised: December 2016	Accepted: December 2016	Final: January 2017
			Published:		
February 2017					
	Article type: Communicated by:				
		Regular paper	M. Kaykobad and R. Petreschi		

E-mail addresses: angelini@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de (P. Angelini) bekos@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de (M. A. Bekos) felice.deluca@studenti.unipg.it (F. De Luca) walter.didimo@unipg.it (W. Didimo) mk@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de (M. Kaufmann) kobourov@cs.arizona.edu (S. Kobourov) fabrizio.montecchiani@unipg.it (F. Montecchiani) crisraft@mail.ntua.gr (C. N. Raftopoulou) roselli@dia.uniroma3.it (V. Roselli) symvonis@math.ntua.gr (A. Symvonis)

1 Introduction

Graph coloring is a fundamental problem in graph theory, which has been extensively studied over the years (see, e.g., [6] for an overview). Most of the research in this area has been devoted to the vertex-coloring problem (or coloring problem, for short), which dates back to 1852 [27]. In its general form, the κ -coloring problem asks to label the vertices of a graph with a given number κ of colors, so that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. In other words, a κ -coloring of a graph partitions its vertices into κ color classes, each determining an independent set. A central result in this area is the so-called four color theorem, according to which every planar graph admits a κ -coloring with $\kappa \leq 4$; see e.g. [19]. Note that the 3-coloring problem is NP-complete [17], even for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 4 [11].

Several variants of this problem have been proposed over the years; see, e.g., [31] for a survey. One of the most studied is the so-called *defective coloring*, independently introduced by Andrews and Jacobson [2], Harary and Jones [21], and Cowen et al. [9]. In this problem, edges between vertices of the same color class are allowed as long as the monochromatic components, which are the connected components of the subgraphs induced by vertices of the same color, maintain some special structure. In this respect, one can regard the classical vertex-coloring as a defective one in which every monochromatic component is an isolated vertex, given that every color class determines an independent set. Besides its theoretical interest, the defective coloring problem has interesting applications. For example, it can be regarded as the scheduling problem [8] where vertices represent tasks and edges represent conflicts between tasks in terms of shared resources. Here, a defect means tolerating some threshold of conflict: for example, each user running a task may find the maximum slowdown incurred for executing its task with one conflicting other task acceptable, and with more than one conflicting task unacceptable.

Over the years, models of defective colorings have been defined in terms of the maximum vertex-degree of each monochromatic component [3, 10, 9, 26, 28], of their size [1, 15, 22], of their acyclicity [12, 14, 35] (under the name of *tree-partition-width*), or of their diameter [13].

In this work we focus on the latter two aspects, namely we study defective colorings in which each monochromatic component is acyclic and has small diameter. In particular, we consider the cases in which the diameter is at most 1 (hence each component is either a vertex or an edge) or at most 2 (hence each component is a *star*, i.e. a tree with a central vertex connected to any number of leaves; see Figure 1d). We hence call the two corresponding problems (*edge*, κ)-coloring and (*star*, κ)-coloring, respectively. Figures 1a-1c show a trade-off between number of colors and diameter of the monochromatic components. We present algorithmic and complexity results for these two problems when $\kappa = 2$ and $\kappa = 3$.

The model we study can be seen as a variant of the *bipartisation* of graphs, namely the problem of making a graph bipartite by removing a small number of elements (e.g., vertices or edges), which is a central graph problem with many



Figure 1: (a-c) Different colorings of the same graph: (a) a traditional 4-coloring, (b) an (edge, 3)-coloring (c) a (star, 2)-coloring; (d) a star with three leaves; its *center* has degree 3.

applications [20, 24]. The bipartisation by removal of (a not-necessarily minimal number of) *non-adjacent* edges corresponds to the (edge, 2)-coloring problem. On the other hand, a (star, 2)-coloring also determines a bipartisation, in which *independent* stars are removed instead of vertices. Note that we do not ask for the minimum number of removed edges or stars but for the existence of a solution.

We observe that the (edge, κ)-coloring setting also fits into the other models of defective colorings that have been studied so far. In fact, any monochromatic component with diameter at most 1 has also size at most 2 and vertex-degree at most 1. This observation implies that several results on the defective coloring with bounded maximum vertex-degree carry on to the (edge, κ)-coloring problem. More precisely, from a result of Lovász [26] it follows that all graphs of maximum vertex-degree 5 are (edge, 3)-colorable. However, Cowen et al. [9] prove that the (edge, 3)-coloring problem is already NP-complete for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 7 and for planar graphs¹ of maximum vertex-degree 10. In the same work, they prove that the (edge, 2)-coloring problem is NP-complete for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 4 and for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 5. Also, they prove that not all outerplanar graphs² are (edge, 2)-colorable, while Eaton and Hull [28] prove that all triangle-free outerplanar graphs are.

On the other hand, (star, κ)-colorings have no direct relationship with the other defective coloring models, since their monochromatic components may have both the size and the maximum vertex-degree unbounded. Results on the complexity of the (star, 2)-coloring problem have been provided by Dorbec et al. [13], who proved that this problem is NP-complete even for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 4 and for triangle-free planar graphs.

Our contributions are:

• We prove that the (edge, 3)-coloring problem remains NP-complete for graphs with vertex-degree at most 6 (Section 2); this answers a question

 $^{^{1}}$ In [9] no explicit bound is given on the maximum vertex-degree; however, their proof can be adapted to work for planar graphs with maximum degree 10 by using planar graphs with maximum degree 4 in the reduction

 $^{^2\}mathrm{An}$ outerplanar graph is a graph that admits a planar drawing in which all the vertices are on the same face

posed by Cowen *et al.* [9] in 1997 (recall that graphs of maximum vertexdegree 5 always admit such colorings [26]). We also show that this problem is NP-complete even for planar graphs of vertex-degree at most 7, which was already known only for planar graphs of vertex-degree at most 10 [9]. Also, we prove NP-completeness of the (star, 3)-colorings problem, even for (planar) graphs of bounded maximum vertex-degree, namely for graphs with vertex-degree at most 9 and for planar graphs with vertex-degree at most 16.

• We present efficient algorithms for testing the existence of (edge, 2)colorings and (star, 2)-colorings of subclasses of planar graphs (Section 3). In particular, we describe linear-time algorithms for testing (edge, 2)colorability and (star, 2)-colorability of partial 2-trees. We remark that partial 2-trees are a meaningful subclass of planar graphs that is of interest in computational complexity theory, since many NP-complete graph problems are solvable in linear time on these graphs (see, e.g., [34]).

We also recall that the partial k-trees are those graphs with treewidth at most k, for any $k \ge 1$. Intuitively, the treewidth [33] is a parameter that measures how much a graph is similar to a tree, and it has applications in parameterized complexity (see, e.g., [16]). Since both types of colorability are expressible in the monadic second-order logic (MSO logic), they are decidable in linear time for graphs of bounded treewidth, as a consequence of Courcelle's theorem [7]. However, the use of MSO logic and Courcelle's theorem usually leads to algorithms having impractical running times, with high dependence on their parameters. This motivates the design of more efficient ad-hoc algorithms (see, e.g., [4, 25]).

• We provide a subclass of outerplanar graphs that is always (star, 2)colorable, namely the class of outerpaths (Section 4). An *outerpath* is an outerplanar graph whose weak-dual³ is a path. Note that it is easy to construct an outerpath not admitting any (edge, 2)-coloring.

2 NP-completeness Results

In this section we study the computational complexity of the (edge, 3)-coloring and the (star, 3)-coloring problems.

As discussed above, problem (edge, 3)-coloring is NP-complete [9] for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 7, while graphs of maximum vertex-degree 5 always admit (edge, 3)-colorings [26]. We close this gap by proving in Theorem 1 that the problem remains NP-complete even for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 6. Then, in Theorem 2 we prove that the NP-completeness result extends to planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 7. We leave as an open question the complexity of the problem for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 6.

 $^{^{3}}$ The *weak-dual* of a plane graph is the subgraph of its dual obtained by neglecting the face-vertex corresponding to its unbounded face.

For the (star, 3)-coloring problem we prove NP-completeness for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 9 and for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 16. While the (star, 2)-coloring problem was already known to be NP-complete, even for restricted graph classes [13], to the best of our knowledge these are the first NP-completeness results for the (star, 3)-coloring problem.

From now on, given a defective coloring of a graph, we call *colored edge* an edge whose end-vertices have the same color.

Theorem 1 Problem (edge, 3)-coloring is NP-complete for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 6.

Proof: Membership in NP is shown in [9]. To prove the NP-hardness, we employ a reduction from the Not-All-Equal 3-SAT (NAE3SAT) problem [30, p.187]. An instance of NAE3SAT consists of a 3-CNF formula ϕ with variables x_1, \ldots, x_n and clauses C_1, \ldots, C_m . The task is to find a truth assignment satisfying ϕ in which no clause has all its three literals *equal* in truth value (that is, not all are true). We show how to construct a graph G_{ϕ} of maximum vertex-degree 6 admitting an (edge, 3)-coloring if and only if ϕ is satisfiable.

Consider the graph of Figure 2a, which we denote by $G_{4,5,5}$, as it contains one vertex u_1 of degree 4, two distinct vertices u_2 and u_3 of degree 5 (white in Figure 2a), and four vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 (gray in Figure 2a) of degree 6, which form a K_4 . Each of u_1, u_2, u_3 is connected to each of v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 , and there exists an edge (u_2, u_3) . We claim that in any (edge, 3)-coloring of $G_{4,5,5}$ vertices u_1, u_2 , and u_3 have the same color, even in the absence of edge (u_2, u_3) . We refer to this color as the color of $G_{4,5,5}$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that u_1 and u_2 have different colors, say white and black. Since v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 form a K_4 , at most two of them have the third color, say gray. Since $u_1 (u_2)$ is incident to all v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 , at most one of them can be white (black). So, exactly two out of v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 are gray, one is white, and one is black. Further, each of them is incident to a colored edge. Since u_3 is adjacent to all of v_1, v_2, v_3 , and v_4 , one of them is incident to two colored edges, regardless of the color of vertex u_3 ; contradiction. A schematization of $G_{4,5,5}$ is given in Figure 2b.

Now, consider the graph of Figure 2c denoted by $G_{3,3,5,5}$, as it has two vertices s and t of degree 3, and two other vertices x and y of degree 5. Graph $G_{3,3,5,5}$ contains five copies G_0 , G_1 , G'_1 , G_2 , and G'_2 of $G_{4,5,5}$, connected by edges e_1 , e'_1 , e_2 , e'_2 , e_3 , and e'_3 . We claim that in any (edge, 3)-coloring of $G_{3,3,5,5}$ vertices s and t have the same color, say black, while one of x and y is white and the other one is gray. Namely, e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 guarantee that G_0 , G_1 , and G_2 have mutually different colors. Thus, x and y have different colors. Symmetrically, G_0 , G'_1 , and G'_2 have mutually different colors. Also, s and t are only incident to G_1 , G'_1 , G_2 , and G'_2 (dotted edges in Figure 2c). Hence, both of them have the color of G_0 , which is different from the ones of x and y, completing the proof of the claim. We schematize $G_{3,3,5,5}$ as in Figure 2d.

For $k \ge 1$, we form a *chain of length* k, which contains 3k + 1 copies G_1, \ldots, G_{3k+1} of $G_{3,3,5,5}$ connected to each other as follows (see Figure 2e). For



Figure 2: Illustration of: (a) graph $G_{4,5,5}$, (b) schematization of $G_{4,5,5}$, (c) graph $G_{3,3,5,5}$ and (d) schematization of $G_{3,3,5,5}$, (e) a chain of length two, (f) schematization of this chain. Colored edges are schematized as curly edges.

 $i = 1, \ldots, 3k + 1$, let s_i, t_i, x_i, y_i be the vertices of G_i . Then, for $i = 1, \ldots, 3k$, we introduce between G_i and G_{i+1} two vertices z_i and z'_i which form a K_4 with t_i and s_{i+1} , as well as edges (y_i, z_i) and (z'_i, x_{i+1}) (dotted in Figure 2e). Assume that in G_i vertices s_i and t_i are black, x_i is gray, and y_i is white (see e.g. G_1 or G_4 in Figure 2e). Since t_i and s_{i+1} are incident to colored edges in G_i and G_{i+1} and are incident to each other due to edge (t_i, s_{i+1}) , they have different colors. Hence, z_i and z'_i have the third color. Since z_i is incident to y_i , the color of z_i and z'_i is gray, and the one of s_{i+1} and t_{i+1} is white. Since z'_i is incident to x_{i+1} , the color of x_{i+1} is black and the one of y_{i+1} is gray. So, the coloring of G_i uniquely determines the one of G_{i+1} . Note that z_i and z_{i+3} have the same color, with $1 \le i \le 3k - 2$, and that all vertices of the chain have degree 6, except for vertices z_i and z'_i (which have degree 4), and for vertices x_1 and y_{3k+1} (which have degree 5). We schematize a chain as in Figure 2f (for k = 2). Thus, the schematization of a chain C of length k is treated as a tripartite graph with partitions $B[C] = \{z_{3i+1}, z'_{3i+1}; 0 \le i \le k-1\}, P[C] = \{z_{3i+2}, z'_{3i+2}; 0 \le i \le k-1\}$ and $N[C] = \{z_{3i+3}, z'_{3i+3}; 0 \le i \le k-1\}$, each containing 2k vertices of degree 4 having the same color. The symbols B, P, and N stand for Black, Positive, and Negative, respectively.

Graph G_{ϕ} contains a chain \mathcal{C} of length $\lceil \frac{3m+2n}{4} \rceil$, referred to as global chain (topmost chain in Figure 3a). For each variable x_i of ϕ , graph G_{ϕ} contains a chain \mathcal{C}_{x_i} of length $\lceil \frac{n_i+1}{4} \rceil$, where n_i is the number of occurrences of x_i in ϕ , $1 \leq i \leq m$ (variable-gadget; see Figure 3a). For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we connect a vertex with degree 4 of partition $B[\mathcal{C}]$ to a vertex in $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ and to a vertex in $N[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ (solid gray edges in Figure 3a). These connections ensure that if partition $B[\mathcal{C}]$ is black, then neither $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ nor $N[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ is black and, hence, $B[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ is black. Thus, $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ and $N[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ will act as the positive and negative partitions of chain \mathcal{C}_{x_i} .



Figure 3: (a) Reduction from NAE3SAT to (edge, 3)-coloring: $\phi = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3)$. The solution corresponds to the assignment $x_1 = false$ and $x_2 = x_3 = false$. Partitions N_{x_1} , P_{x_2} and P_{x_3} (P_{x_1} , N_{x_2} and N_{x_3} , resp.) are colored gray (white, resp.). (b) An attachment gadget.

For each clause $C_i = (\lambda_j \lor \lambda_k \lor \lambda_\ell)$ of ϕ , $1 \le i \le m$, where $\lambda_j \in \{x_j, \neg x_j\}$, $\lambda_k \in \{x_k, \neg x_k\}$, $\lambda_\ell \in \{x_\ell, \neg x_\ell\}$ and $j, k, \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, graph G_ϕ contains a triplet of so-called *clause-vertices* that form a 3-cycle and so cannot have the same color (*clause-gadget*; see Figure 3a). We connect each clause-vertex of clause C_i to a vertex of degree less than 6 of partition $B[\mathcal{C}]$ (dashed gray edges in Figure 3a). These connections guarantee that if partition $B[\mathcal{C}]$ is colored black, then no clause-vertex is also colored black. The length of the global chain guarantees that all connections can be made so that no vertex of partition $B[\mathcal{C}]$ has degree larger than 6.

If λ_j is positive (negative), then we connect the clause-vertex corresponding to λ_j in G_{ϕ} to a vertex of degree smaller than 6 that belongs to the positive partition $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_j}]$ (to the negative partition $N[\mathcal{C}_{x_j}]$) of chain \mathcal{C}_{x_j} . Similarly, we create connections for literals λ_k and λ_ℓ ; see the solid-black edges leaving the triplets for clause C_1 and C_2 in Figure 3a.

The length of C_{x_i} , for i = 1, ..., n guarantees that all connections are accomplished so that no vertex of $P[C_{x_i}]$ and $N[C_{x_i}]$ has degree larger than 6. Thus, G_{ϕ} has maximum vertex-degree 6. Since G_{ϕ} is linear in the size of ϕ , the construction can be done in O(n+m) time.

We show that G_{ϕ} is (edge, 3)-colorable if and only if ϕ is satisfiable. First assume that ϕ is satisfiable. We color partitions $P[\mathcal{C}]$, $N[\mathcal{C}]$, and $B[\mathcal{C}]$ of \mathcal{C} white, gray, and black, respectively. If x_i is true (false), then we color $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ white (gray) and $N[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ gray (white), and $B[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ black. Hence, the tripartitions of \mathcal{C}_{x_i} are of different colors, as required by the construction. Further, if x_i is true (false), then we color gray (white) all the clause-vertices of G_{ϕ} that correspond to positive literals of x_i in ϕ and we color white (gray) those corresponding to negative literals. Thus, a clause-vertex of G_{ϕ} cannot have the same color as its two neighbors at the variable-gadget of x_i , with $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since in the truth assignment of ϕ no clause has all three literals true, no three clause-vertices belonging to the same clause have the same color.

Suppose that G_{ϕ} is (edge, 3)-colorable and, w.l.o.g., that partition $B[\mathcal{C}]$ of global chain \mathcal{C} is black. Hence, $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ and $N[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ are white or gray, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. If $P[\mathcal{C}_{x_i}]$ is white, then we set $x_i = true$; otherwise, we set $x_i = false$. Assume, for a contradiction, that there is a clause of ϕ whose literals are all true or all false. By construction, the corresponding clause-vertices of G_{ϕ} have the same color, which is a contradiction since they form a 3-cycle in G_{ϕ} .

We now consider planar graphs of bounded vertex-degree.

Theorem 2 Problem (edge, 3)-coloring is NP-complete for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 7.

Proof: NP membership is shown in [9]. To prove NP-hardness, we employ a reduction from the 3-coloring problem, which is NP-complete even for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 4 [11]. Let G be a graph of maximum vertex-degree 4; we construct a planar graph G' of maximum vertex-degree 7 admitting an (edge, 3)-coloring if and only if G is 3-colorable.

Consider the (edge, 3)-colorable graph of Figure 3b, which we call *attachment* gadget, with a distinguished vertex v, which we call *pole-vertex*. We claim that, in any (edge, 3)-coloring of an attachment gadget, the pole-vertex is incident to exactly one colored edge. To prove this, we show that vertices v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 have different colors. Considering the symmetry of the vertices, w.l.o.g. assume, for a contradiction, that v_1 and v_2 have the same color, say gray. Then, each of vertices $v_{1,1}$, $v_{1,2}$, $v_{1,3}$, v_3 , $v_{2,2}$, $v_{2,3}$ must be colored either black or white, since each of them is incident to the gray edge (v_1, v_2) . However, the subgraph of the attachment gadget induced by these vertices has no (edge, 2)-coloring, as shown in [10], which is a contradiction.

Graph G' is obtained from G by attaching a copy G_u of the attachment gadget at each vertex u of G, identifying u with the pole-vertex of G_u . Since uhas three neighbors in the gadget and at most four in G, graph G' has vertexdegree at most 7. In addition, since the size of G' is linear in the one of G, the reduction can be performed in linear time.

If G admits a 3-coloring, then G' admits a (edge, 3)-coloring in which each pole-vertex in G' has the same color as the corresponding vertex of G, while the colors of the vertices in each attachment gadget are determined based on the color of its pole-vertex, as in Figure 3b. For the other direction it is sufficient to prove that, in any (edge, 3)-coloring of G', every two adjacent pole-vertices v and w of G' have different colors, as in this case a 3-coloring of G can be obtained by coloring each vertex as the corresponding pole-vertex in G'. Namely, since both v and w are incident to a colored-edge in their attachment gadgets, edge (v, w) cannot be colored.

We conclude the section presenting our results on problem (star, 3)-coloring.

Theorem 3 Problem (star, 3)-coloring is NP-complete for graphs of maximum vertex-degree 9 and for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 16.



Figure 4: (a) The complete graph on six vertices K_6 . (b) The attachment gadget for the planar case.

Proof: The problem clearly belongs to NP; a non-deterministic algorithm only needs to guess a color for each vertex of the graph and then check whether the graphs induced by each color-set are forests of stars, which can be done in linear time. To prove that the problem is NP-hard, we employ a reduction from the 3-coloring problem that is the same as the one of Theorem 2, up to the choice of the attachment gadget.

To prove the first part of the statement we use as attachment gadget the complete graph K_6 on six vertices, which is (star, 3)-colorable (see Figure 4a). The proof is based on the fact that in any (star, 3)-coloring of K_6 each vertex is incident to exactly one colored edge. This is due to the fact that, if three vertices had the same color, then they would form a cycle of colored edges, given that K_6 is a complete graph. Hence, if we attach a copy of K_6 to each vertex of the original instance G of the 3-coloring problem, using any of its six vertices as the pole-vertex, then we can prove as in Theorem 2 that G admits a 3-coloring if and only if the resulting graph (which has vertex-degree 9, since G has vertex-degree 4) admits a (star, 3)-coloring.

For the second part of the theorem, we use as attachment gadget the planar graph of Figure 4b, with its topmost vertex being the pole-vertex. Since the pole-vertex has degree 12, the resulting graph is planar and has vertex-degree 16. Also, it is possible to prove that in any (star, 3)-coloring of the attachment gadget the pole-vertex is adjacent to at least a colored edge. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\hfill \Box$

3 Efficient Testing Algorithms

In this section we give linear-time algorithms for the (edge, 2)-coloring and for the (star, 2)-coloring problems, restricted to certain subclasses of planar graphs. We recall that both these problems are NP-complete, even for planar graphs of bounded vertex-degree [9, 13]. In particular, we consider (edge, 2)-colorings of partial 2-trees (i.e., graphs with treewidth at most 2 [29]) in Theorem 4 and (star, 2)-colorings of the same class of graphs in Theorem 5.

Both algorithms are based on an efficient dynamic programming technique that exploits the SPQ-tree data structure (formally recalled below). From a high-level perspective, an SPQ-tree T of a partial 2-tree G is a tree-like data



Figure 5: (a) A series-parallel graph G. (b) The SPQ-tree T of G. Each S- and P-node is labeled with the poles of the corresponding subgraph. Each Q-node is labeled with the edge it represents.

structure such that each node μ corresponds to a subgraph G_{μ} of G, and G_{μ} can be obtained with one of two possible compositions (a "series" or a "parallel" composition) of the subgraphs corresponding to the children of μ in T. The leaves of T correspond to the edges of G. We traverse T bottom-up and, for each node μ , we compute a small set of representative solutions for G_{μ} . These representative solutions for node μ are obtained by suitably merging the representative solutions associated with the children of μ . Since the graphs associated with the children of μ either all share a same separation pair of G_{μ} or they are attached one another through cut vertices of G_{μ} so to form a path, their corresponding solutions can be efficiently merged to obtain a new set of solutions for node μ .

Basic definitions. We first introduce some basic definitions and tools. Seriesparallel graphs are graphs with two special vertices, called their poles, inductively defined as follows. An edge (s, t) is a series-parallel graph with poles s and t. Let G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_k be a sequence of series-parallel graphs $(k \ge 1)$ and let s_i and t_i be the poles of G_i $(i = 0, \ldots, k)$. A series composition of G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_k is a series-parallel graph with poles $s = s_0$ and $t = t_k$, containing each G_i as a subgraph, and such that t_i and s_{i+1} have been identified $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1)$. A parallel composition of G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_k is a series-parallel graph with poles $s = s_0 = s_1 = \cdots = s_k$ and $t = t_0 = t_1 = \cdots = t_k$, which contain each G_i as a subgraph.

An *SPQ*-tree *T* of a series-parallel graph *G* is a tree, rooted at some node, representing the series (*S*-nodes) and parallel (*P*-nodes) compositions of *G*, as well as the single edges of *G* (*Q*-nodes) [18]. Figures 5a and 5b show a seriesparallel graph and a corresponding *SPQ*-tree. The *pertinent graph* G_{μ} of a node μ of *T*, is the series-parallel subgraph of *G* such that the subtree of *T* rooted at μ is an *SPQ*-tree of G_{μ} . We will denote by s_{μ} and t_{μ} the poles of G_{μ} . A 2-tree is a graph obtained by starting from an edge and iteratively attaching a new vertex per time to two already adjacent vertices. A *partial 2-tree* is any subgraph of a 2-tree. It is known that the class of 2-trees coincides with the class of *maximal* series-parallel graphs [23], i.e., the series-parallel graphs that cannot be augmented with any edge while remaining series-parallel. Also, a graph is a partial 2-tree if and only if all its biconnected components are series-parallel graphs [5].

3.1 Testing (edge, 2)-colorability of Partial 2-Trees

We first describe an algorithm, called SPColorer, to test in linear time whether a biconnected series-parallel graph G admits an (edge, 2)-coloring; the extension to the case in which G is not biconnected will be presented later. The idea is to incrementally compute such a coloring (if any) by visiting bottom-up an SPQtree T of G. Since the number of all feasible colorings for a given subgraph of G may be exponential in the size of the subgraph, we define an equivalence relation on the set of these colorings, and keep track of only one representative solution in each equivalence class during the visit. The relation is based on the following definitions.

Let G be a biconnected series-parallel graph and assume an (edge, 2)-coloring C exists for G. Call white and black the two colors of C, and for each vertex v of G we denote by N(v) be the set of its neighbors. If v is white (black) and N(v) contains no white (black) vertices (i.e., v has no incident colored edges), we say that v is of type W_0 (type B_0). If v is white (black) and N(v) contains one white (black) vertex (i.e., v has one incident colored edge), v is of type W_1 (type B_1). Let T be an SPQ-tree of G rooted at an arbitrary Q-node ρ , and let μ be a node of T. We say that two (edge, 2)-colorings C_1 and C_2 of the pertinent G_{μ} of μ are equivalent if pole s_{μ} (pole t_{μ}) is of the same type in C_1 and C_2 . Since we have four possible types for a vertex, this relation yields up to 16 equivalence classes of (edge, 2)-colorings for G_{μ} . Each of these classes is represented in the following as a pair (X, Y), where X and Y are the types of s_{μ} and of t_{μ} , respectively. Each element (i.e., each (edge, 2)-coloring) of an equivalence class is called a solution for G_{μ} . An equivalence class is feasible if it contains at least one solution.

It is immediate to see that if C is an (edge, 2)-coloring of G and if C_1 is the restriction of C to G_{μ} (hence, C_1 is a solution for G_{μ}), then replacing C_1 in C with any equivalent solution C_2 for G_{μ} yields a valid (edge, 2)-coloring of G. Exploiting this property, algorithm **SPColorer** visits T bottom-up and, for each visited node μ of T, efficiently computes a set, called the *feasible bag* of μ and denoted by b_{μ} , containing one (representative) solution from each feasible equivalence class of (edge, 2)-colorings of G_{μ} . Hence, b_{μ} contains at most 16 solutions. If b_{μ} is empty, the algorithm halts and returns false. If the algorithm reaches the root ρ of T and b_{ρ} is not empty, then it returns true and one of these solutions as a witness.

To compute b_{μ} , we will make use of the following operation. Let ν_1 and ν_2 be two children of μ , and suppose μ is a *P*-node. Recall that $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu_1} = s_{\nu_2}$,

and $t_{\mu} = t_{\nu_1} = t_{\nu_2}$. Let C_1 and C_2 be a solution for G_{ν_1} and G_{ν_2} , respectively, such that s_{ν_1} and s_{ν_2} (t_{ν_1} and t_{ν_2}) have the same color in C_1 and C_2 . Let G^* be the (series-parallel) subgraph of G_{μ} obtained by the parallel composition of G_{ν_1} and G_{ν_2} . The union operation of C_1 and C_2 returns a solution C^* for G^* , obtained by merging C_1 and C_2 , provided that neither s_{μ} nor t_{μ} becomes incident to two colored edges in G^* . An analogous operation is defined if μ is an S-node; in this case, t_1 and s_2 (which are identified in the composition) must have the same color in C_1 and C_2 and, after the merging, $t_1 = s_2$ must not be incident to two colored edges. In both cases, the union operation can be easily performed in constant time by representing each solution as an SPQ-tree of the corresponding pertinent graph and storing the types of the two poles.

We now prove, for each type of node μ , that the feasible bag b_{μ} can be computed efficiently.

Lemma 1 Let μ be a non-root Q-node of T. The feasible bag b_{μ} of μ can be computed in O(1) time.

Proof: Node μ is a leaf of T and G_{μ} is an edge between the poles s_{μ} and t_{μ} . All and only the following equivalence classes are feasible for G_{μ} : (W_1, W_1) , (B_1, B_1) , (W_0, B_0) , (B_0, W_0) . Each of these classes has only one possible solution, thus b_{μ} is unique and can be computed in constant time.

Lemma 2 Let μ be a P-node of T. Let ν_1, \ldots, ν_k , be the $k \ge 2$ children of μ in T, whose corresponding feasible bags have been already computed. A feasible bag b_{μ} of μ can be computed in O(k) time.

Proof: Recall that $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu_1} = \cdots = s_{\nu_k}$ and $t_{\mu} = t_{\nu_1} = \cdots = t_{\nu_k}$. We first determine whether an equivalence class is feasible for G_{μ} , and then compute a solution for it.

We start with the equivalence classes where both poles s_{μ} and t_{μ} are not incident to colored edges. Let $(X, Y) \in \{(W_0, W_0), (W_0, B_0), (B_0, W_0), (B_0, B_0)\}$ be any of these classes. Clearly, (X, Y) is feasible for G_{μ} if and only if it is feasible for each G_{ν_i} $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$. Also, if (X, Y) is feasible for G_{ν_i} $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$, then the union operation on the corresponding solutions provides a solution for G_{μ} in class (X, Y).

Consider now the equivalence classes in which there is only one pole (not both) incident to a colored edge. Assume that s_{μ} is the pole incident to a colored edge (the other case is symmetric). It is easy to see that (X, Y), with $X \in \{W_1, B_1\}$ and $Y \in \{W_0, B_0\}$, is feasible for G_{μ} if and only if there exists one bag b_{ν_i} such that bag b_{ν_i} contains a solution in class (X, Y) and every bag different from b_{ν_i} contains a solution in (W_0, Y) , if $X = W_1$, or in (B_0, Y) , if $X = B_1$. Again, if the condition is satisfied, the union operation on the corresponding solutions provides a solution for G_{μ} in class (X, Y).

Finally, consider the equivalence classes with both poles incident to a colored edge. Consider the class (W_1, W_1) ; analogous arguments hold for the others. Class (W_1, W_1) is feasible for G_{μ} if and only if there exist either one bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that (i) bag b_{ν_i} contains a solution in class (W_1, W_1) and

(*ii*) every bag different from b_{ν_i} contains a solution in (W_0, W_0) , or two bags b_{ν_i} and b_{ν_j} , with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, such that (*i*) bag b_{ν_i} contains a solution in class (W_1, W_0) , (*ii*) bag b_{ν_j} contains a solution in class (W_0, W_1) , and (*iii*) every bag different from b_{ν_i} and b_{ν_j} contains a solution in (W_0, W_0) . If one of the two conditions holds, the union operation on the corresponding solutions gives a solution for G_{μ} in (W_1, W_1) .

Since for each of the 16 classes there are k bags to check (each containing at most 16 representative solutions), and since the union operation takes constant time, bag b_{μ} is computed in O(k) time.

Lemma 3 Let μ be an S-node of T. Let ν_1, \ldots, ν_k , be the $k \ge 2$ children of μ in T, whose corresponding feasible bags have been already computed. A feasible bag b_{μ} of μ can be computed in O(k) time.

Proof: Recall that $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu_1}, t_{\nu_1} = s_{\nu_2}, \ldots, t_{\nu_{k-1}} = s_{\nu_k}, t_{\nu_k} = t_{\mu}$. We determine whether a class is feasible for G_{μ} through k-1 intermediate steps. For the illustration, consider the class (W_0, W_0) (the argument is analogous for the other classes). Let G_i denote the graph resulting from the series composition of $G_{\nu_1}, G_{\nu_2}, \ldots, G_{\nu_i}$.

At step i, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, consider the graphs G_i and $G_{\nu_{i+1}}$. Let b_i be a bag containing one solution for each class (W_0, Y) that is feasible for G_i (where Y can be any type). Such a bag is computed in the previous step if i > 1, or it coincides with b_{ν_1} if i = 1. Then, we compute a bag b_{i+1} containing one solution for each class that is feasible for G_{i+1} , as follows. A class (W_0, Z) (where Z can be any type) is feasible for G_{i+1} if there exist a class (W_0, Y) that is feasible for G_i and a class (X, Z) that is feasible for $G_{\nu_{i+1}}$ such that either $X = W_0$ and $Y \in \{W_0, W_1\}$, or $Y = W_0$ and $X \in \{W_0, W_1\}$, or $X = B_0$ and $Y \in \{B_0, B_1\}$, or $Y = B_0$ and $X \in \{B_0, B_1\}$, that is, X and Y are such that t_{ν_i} and $s_{\nu_{i+1}}$ have the same color and at most one of them is incident to a colored edge. If (W_0, Z) is decided as feasible, the union operation on the corresponding solutions gives a solution for G_i in (W_0, Z) .

Finally, since G_k corresponds to G_{μ} , then (W_0, W_0) is feasible for G_{μ} if and only if b_k contains a representative solution in (W_0, W_0) . Computing b_k for each class takes O(k) time, and this computation is done 16 times. Hence, b_{μ} is computed in O(k) time.

Lemma 4 Let ρ be the root of T. Let ξ be the (only) child of ρ in T, whose corresponding feasible bag has been computed. A feasible bag b_{ρ} of ρ can be computed in O(1) time.

Proof: Consider each class $(X, Y) \in b_{\xi}$. If $X \in \{W_0, W_1\}$ and $Y \in \{B_0, B_1\}$, or vice versa, then add (X, Y) to b_{ρ} . Otherwise, if $X = Y = W_0$, then add (W_1, W_1) to b_{ρ} , while if $X = Y = B_0$, then add (B_1, B_1) to b_{ρ} . In all the other cases, do not add any class to b_{ρ} .

Lemmas 1–4 and the fact that an SPQ-tree T of G has O(n) nodes imply the following result.

Lemma 5 Let G be an n-vertex biconnected series-parallel graph. There exists an O(n)-time algorithm that decides whether G admits an (edge, 2)-coloring.

Extension to non-biconnected graphs. In the following, we extend the result of Lemma 5 to every partial 2-tree G. Let B be the set of *blocks* (i.e., biconnected components) of G. As already pointed out, each block in B is a biconnected series-parallel graph. Let C be the set of cutvertices of G. Construct a tree \mathcal{T} with vertex set $B \cup C$ in which the edges are defined as follows: $c \in C$ is adjacent to $b \in B$ if and only if the block b contains c. Tree \mathcal{T} is called the *block-cutvertex tree* of G, or simply the *BC-tree* of G. Furthermore, observe that the union operation on two solutions can be naturally extended to the case when the two corresponding subgraphs G_{ν_1} and G_{ν_2} share only one (cut) vertex.

Theorem 4 Let G be an n-vertex partial 2-tree. There exists an O(n)-time algorithm that decides whether G admits an (edge, 2)-coloring.

Proof: If G is biconnected, then the statement follows by Lemma 5. Otherwise, let \mathcal{T} be the BC-tree of G, rooted at an arbitrary cutvertex. We visit \mathcal{T} bottom-up and apply SPColorer to each block of G. In order to obtain a consistent and valid coloring for the cutvertices, we extend SPColorer so to handle additional inter-block constraints, as described below for the different types of nodes of \mathcal{T} .

Let b be a leaf of \mathcal{T} (associated with a block of G) and let c_b be the cutvertex corresponding to the parent of b in T. Root the SPQ-tree T_b of b at a Q-node having c_b as a pole, and apply SPColorer to b. If SPColorer returns false, then a solution does not exist for G as well. If SPColorer returns true, let the block bag \mathcal{B}_b of b coincide with the feasible bag associated with the root node of T_b .

Let c be a cutvertex of \mathcal{T} and let b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k be the $k \geq 1$ blocks that are children of c in \mathcal{T} . Let the *cutvertex bag* \mathcal{B}_c of c be a set of solutions computed as follows. If all the block bags \mathcal{B}_{b_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, contain at least one solution where c is of type W_0 (resp., B_0), then apply the union operation on these solutions and add it to \mathcal{B}_c . If all the block bags \mathcal{B}_{b_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, contain at least one solution where c is of type W_0 (resp., B_0), except for one block bag which contains a solution where c is of type W_1 (resp., B_1) then apply the union operation on these solutions and add it to \mathcal{B}_c . Clearly, \mathcal{B}_c contains at most four solutions. If \mathcal{B}_c is empty, then the algorithm halts and returns false. If c is the root of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{B}_c contains at least one solution \mathcal{C} , then the algorithm returns true and \mathcal{C} as a witness.

Let b be a block of G that is not a leaf of \mathcal{T} , and let c_b be the cutvertex corresponding to the parent of b in T. Let c_1, \ldots, c_k be the $k \geq 1$ cutvertices that correspond to the children of b in \mathcal{T} . Root the SPQ-tree T_b of b at a Q-node having c_b as a pole. We apply SPColorer to b with the following modification. Every time a node μ of T_b is visited such that one of the two poles is a cutvertex c_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, we need to ensure that c_i receives a valid color with respect to all the other blocks attached to c_i , as follows.

Assume that c_i coincides with pole s_{μ} , the other case is symmetric. For each class (X, Y) such that the feasible bag b_{μ} contains a solution in this class, we

perform the following operation. Suppose that $X = W_0$ (that $X = B_0$). Remove the solution corresponding to (X, Y) from b_{μ} ; then, for each $Z \in \{W_0, W_1\}$ (for each $Z \in \{B_0, B_1\}$), if the cutvertex bag \mathcal{B}_{c_i} contains a solution in which c_i is of type Z, then add to b_{μ} a solution for class (Z, Y), obtained by applying the union between the solution in which c_i is of type Z and the one corresponding to (X, Y). Suppose that $X = W_1$ (that $X = B_1$). Remove the solution corresponding to (X, Y) from b_{μ} ; then, if \mathcal{B}_{c_i} contains a solution in which c_i is of type W_0 , then add to b_{μ} a solution for class (X, Y), again obtained by applying the union between the corresponding solutions. At the end of this procedure there may exist two solutions that belong to the same class; we pick one arbitrarily. Again, if SPColorer returns false, then a solution does not exist for G as well. If SPColorer returns true, then let the block bag \mathcal{B}_b of b be the feasible bag of the root of T_b .

The time complexity of the algorithm is O(n), as for each block b with n_b vertices we spend $O(n_b)$ time to compute its block bag by Lemma 5 (including the operations at cutvertices); for each cutvertex c with n_c children in \mathcal{T} we spend $O(n_c)$ time to compute its cutvertex bag; and $\sum_{\forall b \in B} n_b + \sum_{\forall c \in C} n_c = O(n)$.

3.2 Testing (star, 2)-colorability of Partial 2-Trees

We now turn our attention to the (star, 2)-coloring problem by extending the test for partial 2-trees provided in Section 3.1 to this problem.

Let T be an SPQ-tree of G rooted at an arbitrary Q-node ρ , and let μ be a node of T. We maintain the same definition of equivalence between two colorings of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 of \mathcal{G}_{μ} as in the (edge, 2)-coloring case, with the only difference that the set of possible types of the poles has to be larger in this case, in order to encompass all the possible configurations that may arise in a (star, 2)-coloring. Namely a pole of μ , say s_{μ} , in a (star, 2)-coloring can be of the following types: (i) W_0 : pole s_{μ} is white and no vertex in $N(s_{\mu})$ is white (the monochromatic component containing s_{μ} is an isolated vertex) (ii) W_1 : pole s_{μ} is white, there exists exactly one white vertex w in $N(s_{\mu})$, and N(w) contains at least one white vertex different from s_{μ} (the monochromatic component containing s_{μ} is a star with center w, and s_{μ} is one of its leaves) (iii) W_2 : pole s_{μ} is white and either there exists more than one white vertex in $N(s_{\mu})$ or there exists exactly one white vertex w in $N(s_{\mu})$, which is not t_{μ} and has no white neighbor different from s_{μ} (the monochromatic component containing s_{μ} is a star and s_{μ} is its center) (iv) W_3 : pole s_{μ} is white and the only white vertex in $N(s_{\mu})$ is t_{μ} , which has no white neighbor other than s_{μ} (the monochromatic component containing s_{μ} only consists of edge (s_{μ}, t_{μ}) , and it is still undecided which of them is the center). Types B_0, \ldots, B_3 are defined analogously, with color black instead of white.

Note that there exist eight possible types for a vertex; however, a pole is of type either W_3 or B_3 if and only if the other pole is of the same type. This implies that the total number of equivalence classes of (edge, 2)-colorings for G_{μ} is 38. Recall that an equivalence class of a (star, 2)-coloring is represented

by a pair (X, Y), where X and Y are the types of s_{μ} and t_{μ} , respectively. In the following, a pair of the form (X, \cdot) will be used to denote an equivalence class in which s_{μ} is of type X, while t_{μ} can be of any type. Pair (\cdot, Y) is defined analogously.

Based on the property that the number of equivalence classes is still bounded by a constant, we provide a linear-time algorithm to test the existence of a (edge, 2)-coloring of a partial 2-tree that works along the same lines as algorithm SPColorer. In the following we show how to compute in constant time the feasible bag b_{μ} of μ of a node $\mu \in T$ starting from the feasible bags of the children of μ .

Lemma 6 Let μ be a non-root Q-node of T. The feasible bag b_{μ} of μ can be computed in O(1) time.

Proof: Node μ is a leaf of T and G_{μ} is an edge between the poles s_{μ} and t_{μ} . Only the following equivalence classes are feasible for G_{μ} : (W_0, B_0) , (B_0, W_0) , (W_3, W_3) , (B_3, B_3) . Each of these classes has only one possible solution, thus b_{μ} is unique and can be computed in constant time.

Lemma 7 Let μ be a *P*-node of *T*. Let ν_1, \ldots, ν_k , be the $k \ge 2$ children of μ in *T*, whose corresponding feasible bags have been already computed. A feasible bag b_{μ} of μ can be computed in O(k) time.

Proof: Recall that $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu_1} = \cdots = s_{\nu_k}$ and $t_{\mu} = t_{\nu_1} = \cdots = t_{\nu_k}$. We first determine whether an equivalence class is feasible for G_{μ} , and then compute a solution for it.

In the following, we consider a pole of μ , say s_{μ} , and for each possible type T_0, \ldots, T_3 , with $T \in \{W, B\}$, we discuss which are the conditions on the classes contained in the feasible bags of ν_1, \ldots, ν_k that have to be satisfied in order for s_{μ} to be of that type. Testing whether a certain equivalence class (X, Y) is feasible for G_{μ} can be done by combining the conditions that have to be satisfied in order for s_{μ} to be type X and t_{μ} to be type Y, in the same way as in the last part of the proof of Lemma 2.

- Pole s_{μ} can be of type T_0 (that is, it is not incident to any colored edge) if and only if each bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \leq k$, contains a solution in class (T_0, \cdot) .
- Pole s_{μ} can be of type T_1 (that is, it is a leaf of a colored star) if and only if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - there exists a child ν_h of μ such that bag b_{ν_h} contains a solution in a class (T_1, \cdot) and each bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \neq h \leq k$, contains a solution in a class (T_0, \cdot) ; or
 - there exist two children ν_h and ν_z of μ such that bag b_{ν_h} contains a solution in a class (T_3, T_3) , bag b_{ν_z} contains a solution in class (T_0, T_2) , and each bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \neq h, z \leq k$, contains a solution in a class either (T_0, T_0) or (T_0, T_2) .

- Pole s_μ can be of type T₂ (that is, it is the center of a colored star) if and only if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - there exists a child ν_h of μ such that bag b_{ν_h} contains a solution in class (T_2, \cdot) and each bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \neq h \leq k$, contains a solution in a class either (T_0, \cdot) or (T_2, \cdot) ; or
 - there exist two children ν_h and ν_z of μ such that bag b_{ν_h} contains a solution in a class (T_3, T_3) , bag b_{ν_z} contains a solution in class (T_2, T_0) , and each bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \neq h, z \leq k$, contains a solution in a class either (T_0, T_0) or (T_2, T_0) .
- Pole s_{μ} can be of type T_3 (that is, it is still undecided whether it is a leaf or a center of a colored star) if and only if there exists a child ν_h of μ such that bag b_{ν_h} contains a solution in a class (T_3, T_3) and each bag b_{ν_i} , with $1 \leq i \neq h \leq k$, contains a solution in class (T_0, T_0) .

Once an equivalence class (X, Y) has been positively tested by checking the corresponding conditions to hold at the same time for both the poles, the union operation on the solutions for the children of μ provides a solution for G_{μ} in class (X, Y).

Since for each of the 38 classes there are k bags to check (each containing at most 38 representative solutions), and since the union operation takes constant time, bag b_{μ} is computed in O(k) time.

Lemma 8 Let μ be an S-node of T. Let ν_1, \ldots, ν_k , be the $k \ge 2$ children of μ in T, whose corresponding feasible bags have been already computed. A feasible bag b_{μ} of μ can be computed in O(k) time.

Proof: Recall that $s_{\mu} = s_{\nu_1}, t_{\nu_1} = s_{\nu_2}, \ldots, t_{\nu_{k-1}} = s_{\nu_k}, t_{\nu_k} = t_{\mu}$. We first determine whether an equivalence class is feasible for G_{μ} , and then compute a solution for it.

For each equivalence class (X, Y) we test whether it is feasible for G_{μ} by selecting a class (X^{i}, Y^{i}) in the feasible bag of each child ν_{i} , with $i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that: (A) $X = X^{1}$. (B) $Y = Y^{k}$. (C) For any two consecutive children μ_{i} and μ_{i+1} , we have that $t_{\mu_{i}}$ and $s_{\mu_{i+1}}$ have the same color and, if $Y^{i} = W_{1}$ then $X^{i+1} = W_{0}$, if $Y^{i} = B_{1}$ then $X^{i+1} = B_{0}$, if $X^{i+1} = W_{1}$ then $Y^{i} = W_{0}$, and if $X^{i+1} = B_{1}$ then $Y^{i} = B_{0}$. (D) For any three consecutive children μ_{i-1}, μ_{i} , and μ_{i+1} , we have that $t_{\mu_{i-1}}$ and $s_{\mu_{i}}$ have the same color, $t_{\mu_{i}}$ and $s_{\mu_{i+1}}$ have the same color, and if $X^{i} = Y^{i} = W_{3}$, then at least one of Y^{i-1} and X^{i+1} is W_{0} , while the other one is W_{0} or W_{2} or W_{3} ; analogously, if $X^{i} = Y^{i} = B_{3}$, then at least one of Y^{i-1} and X^{i+1} is B_{0} , while the other one is B_{0} or B_{2} or B_{3} .

Note that the first two conditions ensure that the coloring belongs to (X, Y), while the other two ensure that it is a valid (star, 2)-coloring. The test can be performed in O(k) time using a technique similar to the one used in Lemma 3. Repeating the test for all the 38 classes yields a total O(k) running time to compute b_{μ} .

Lemma 9 Let ρ be the root of T. Let ξ be the (only) child of ρ in T, whose corresponding feasible bag has been computed. A feasible bag b_{ρ} of ρ can be computed in O(1) time.

Proof: Let $s_{\xi} = s_{\rho}$ and $t_{\xi} = t_{\rho}$ be the poles of ξ . Consider any class $(X, Y) \in b_{\xi}$. Note that $X, Y \neq W_3, B_3$, since G_{ξ} does not contain an edge between the poles. If $X \in \{W_0, W_1, W_2\}$ and $Y \in \{B_0, B_1, B_2\}$, or vice versa, then add (X, Y) to b_{ρ} . Otherwise, suppose that $X, Y \in \{W_0, W_1, W_2\}$, the case in which $X, Y \in \{B_0, B_1, B_2\}$ being analogous. If $X = Y = W_0$, then add (W_3, W_3) to b_{ρ} . If $X = W_0$ and $Y = W_2$, then add (W_1, W_2) to b_{ρ} . If $X = W_2$ and $Y = W_0$, then add (W_1, W_2) to b_{ρ} . In all the other cases, do not add any class to b_{ρ} .

Lemmas 6–9 and the fact that an SPQ-tree T of G has O(n) nodes imply that there exists an O(n) testing algorithm for biconnected series-parallel graphs. In order to extend the algorithm to every (non-biconnected) partial 2-tree, we can apply the same procedure as in Theorem 4, with the following modifications.

The first modification is in the computation of the cutvertex bag \mathcal{B}_c of a cut-vertex c. Namely, if all the block bags \mathcal{B}_{b_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, contain at least one solution where c is of type W_0 (resp., B_0), then apply the union operation on these solutions and add it to \mathcal{B}_c . If all the block bags \mathcal{B}_{b_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, contain at least one solution where c is of type W_0 (resp., B_0), except for one block bag which contains a solution where c is of type W_1 (resp., B_1) then apply the union operation on these solutions and add it to \mathcal{B}_c . Finally, if all the block bags \mathcal{B}_{b_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, contain at least one solution where c is of type W_1 (resp., B_1) then apply the union operation on these solutions and add it to \mathcal{B}_c . Finally, if all the block bags \mathcal{B}_{b_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, contain at least one solution where c is of type either W_0 , or W_2 , or W_3 (resp., either B_0 , or B_2 , or B_3), with at least one of them being different from W_0 (from B_0), then apply the union operation on these solutions and add it to \mathcal{B}_c . Clearly, \mathcal{B}_c contains at most eight solutions.

The other modification is on the operations that have to be performed when a node μ of T_b is visited in which one of the two poles is a cutvertex c_i $(1 \le i \le k)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that c_i coincides with pole s_{μ} . For each class (X, Y) such that the feasible bag b_{μ} contains a solution in this class, we perform the following operation.

Suppose that $X = W_0$ (that $X = B_0$). Remove the solution corresponding to (X, Y) from b_{μ} ; then, for each $Z \in \{W_0, W_1, W_2\}$ (for each $Z \in \{B_0, B_1, B_2\}$), if the cutvertex bag \mathcal{B}_{c_i} contains a solution in which c_i is of type Z, then add to b_{μ} a solution for class (Z, Y), obtained by applying the union between the solution in which c_i is of type Z and the one corresponding to (X, Y). Suppose that $X = W_1$ (that $X = B_1$). If \mathcal{B}_{c_i} does not contain any solution in which c_i is of type B_0), then remove the solution corresponding to (X, Y) from b_{μ} . Suppose that $X = W_2$ (that $X = B_2$). If \mathcal{B}_{c_i} does not contain any solution in which c_i is of type either W_0 or W_2 (of type either B_0 or B_2), then remove the solution corresponding to (X, Y) from b_{μ} . Suppose that $X = W_3$ (that $X = B_3$). Remove the solution corresponding to (X, Y) from b_{μ} ; then, if \mathcal{B}_{c_i} contains a solution in which c_i is of type W_0 (of type B_0), then add a solution in (X, Y) to b_{μ} , while if \mathcal{B}_{c_i} contains a solution in which c_i is of type W_0 (of type B_0), then add a

 W_2 (of type B_2), then add a solution in (W_2, W_1) (in (B_2, B_1)) to b_{μ} . In both cases, the solutions are obtained by applying the union operation. At the end of this procedure there may exist two solutions that belong to the same class; we pick one arbitrarily. All the rest of the algorithm works as for SPColorer. We summarize the result in the following.

Theorem 5 Let G be an n-vertex partial 2-tree. There exists an O(n)-time algorithm that decides whether G admits a (star, 2)-coloring.

4 Outerpaths

In this section we aim at determining notable classes of graphs that always admit a (star, 2)-coloring. In particular, we consider outerplanar graphs, since this class is known to have good properties with respect to coloring; in fact, every outerplanar graph admits a 3-coloring [32], and every triangle-free outerplanar graph admits an (edge, 2)-coloring. On the other hand, it is known that not all outerplanar graphs admit an (edge, 2)-coloring [9].

We hence ask whether allowing stars instead of edges as monochromatic components can help, namely whether every outerplanar graph admits a (star, 2)-coloring. We answer this question in the negative, by providing in Lemma 10 an example of a small outerplanar graph that is not (star, 2)-colorable. On the other hand, we prove in Theorem 6 that there exists a notable subclass of outerplanar graphs, called *outerpaths*, for which this property holds.

Lemma 10 There exist outerplanar graphs that are not (star, 2)-colorable.

Proof: We prove that the outerplanar graph of Figure 6a is not (star, 2)-colorable. In particular, we show that in any 2-coloring of this graph there exists a monochromatic path of four vertices. Assume w.l.o.g. that vertex u has color gray. Then, at least two vertices out of u_1, \ldots, u_8 are gray, as otherwise there would be a path of four white vertices. Hence, u is the center of a gray star.

Next, we observe that either u_2 is white or the path u_{21}, \ldots, u_{24} must consist of only white vertices. Similarly, we observe that either u_3 is white or the path u_{31}, \ldots, u_{34} must consist of only white vertices. If both u_2 and u_3 are white, then either one of paths u_{21}, \ldots, u_{24} and u_{31}, \ldots, u_{34} consists only of gray vertices, or there exists a path from one of u_{21}, \ldots, u_{24} via u_2 and u_3 to one of u_{31}, \ldots, u_{34} , that consists only of white vertices. Clearly, all aforementioned cases lead to a monochromatic path of four vertices.

While not all outerplanar graphs admit a (star, 2)-coloring, as we observed in Lemma 10, we prove in Theorem 6 that every outerpath admits a coloring of this type, by giving a linear-time constructive algorithm. Note that the example provided in Lemma 10 is "almost" an outerpath, meaning that the weak-dual of this graph is "almost" a path, given that it contains only degree-1 and degree-2 vertices, except for one specific vertex, which has degree 3 (see the face of the graph in Figure 6a highlighted in gray).



Figure 6: (a) An outerplanar graph that is not (star, 2)-colorable. (b) An outerpath, whose spine edges are drawn as dashed segments. Dotted arcs highlighted in gray correspond to edges belonging to the fan of each spine vertex. Note that $|f_6| = 0$.

Before describing the (star, 2)-coloring algorithm, we observe that it is easy to construct an outerpath not admitting any (edge, 2)-coloring. In fact, consider a graph G composed of a path P with six vertices and of an additional vertex v connected to all the vertices of P. Since the weak dual of G is a path with five vertices, G is an outerpath. Also, in any (edge, 2)-coloring of G there exists at most one vertex of P with the same color as v; hence, at least three consecutive vertices of P must have the same color, and hence G does not admit any (edge, 2)-coloring.

We now describe the (star, 2)-coloring algorithm. Let G be an outerpath. We assume that G is inner-triangulated. This is not a loss of generality, as any (star, 2)-coloring of a triangulated outerpath induces a (star, 2)-coloring of any of its subgraphs. We first give some definitions; refer to Figure 6b. We call *spine vertices* the vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m with degree at least 4 in G. We consider an additional spine vertex v_{m+1} , which is the (unique) neighbor of v_m along the cycle delimiting the outer face that is not adjacent to v_{m-1} . Note that the spine vertices of G induce a path, that we call *spine* of G^4 . The fan f_i of a spine vertex v_i consists of the set of neighbors of v_i in G, except for v_{i-1} and for those following and preceding v_i along the cycle delimiting the outer face⁵; note that $|f_i| \geq 1$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$, while $|f_{m+1}| = 0$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, m+1$, we denote by G_i the subgraph of G induced by the spine vertices v_1, \ldots, v_i and by the fans f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1} . Note that $G_{m+1} = G$. We denote by c_i the color assigned to spine vertex v_i , and by $c(G_i)$ a coloring of graph G_i . Recall that an edge of G is called *colored* if its two endpoints have the same color.

Theorem 6 Every outerpath admits a (star, 2)-coloring, which can be computed in linear time.

Proof: Let G be an outerpath with spine v_1, \ldots, v_k . We describe an algorithm

⁴Note that the spine of G coincides with the spine of the caterpillar obtained from the outerpath G by removing all the edges incident to its outer face, neglecting the additional spine vertex v_{m+1} .

⁵Fan f_i contains all the leaves of the caterpillar incident to v_i , plus the following spine vertex v_{i+1} .



Figure 7: Schematization of the algorithm. Each node represents the (unique) condition satisfied by G_i at some step $0 \le i \le k$. An edge label 0, 1, e, o represents the fact that the cardinality of a fan f_i is $0, 1, \text{even} \ne 0$, or odd $\ne 1$. If the label contains two characters, the second one describes the cardinality of f_{i+1} . An edge between Q_j and Q_h with label $x \in \{1, e, o\}$ (with label xy, where $y \in \{0, 1, e, o\}$) represents the fact that, if G_i satisfies condition Q_j and $|f_i| = x$ (resp. $|f_i| = x$ and $|f_{i+1}| = y$), then f_i is colored so that G_{i+1} satisfies Q_h .

to compute a (star, 2)-coloring of G. At each step i = 1, ..., k of the algorithm we consider the spine edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) , assuming that a (star, 2)-coloring of G_i has already been computed satisfying one of the following conditions (see Figure 7):

 Q_0 : The only colored vertex is v_1 ;

- $Q_1: c_i \neq c_{i-1}$, vertex v_{i-1} is the center of a star with color c_{i-1} , and no colored edge is incident to v_i ;
- Q_2 : $c_i = c_{i-1}$, and no colored edge other than (v_{i-1}, v_i) is incident to v_{i-1} or v_i ;
- Q₃: $c_i \neq c_{i-1}$, vertex v_{i-1} is a leaf of a star with color c_{i-1} , and no colored edge is incident to v_i ;
- Q_4 : $c_i \neq c_{i-1}$, vertex v_{i-1} is the center of a star with color c_{i-1} , and vertex v_i is the center of a star with color c_i ; further, i < k and $|f_i| > 1$;
- Q₅: $c_i = c_{i-1}$, vertex v_{i-1} is the center of a star with color c_{i-1} , and no colored edge other than (v_{i-1}, v_i) is incident to v_i ; further, i < k and $|f_i| = 1$.

Next, we color the vertices in f_i in such a way that $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)coloring satisfying one of the conditions; refer to Figure 7 for a schematization of the case analysis. In the first step of the algorithm, we assign an arbitrary color to v_1 , and hence $c(G_1)$ satisfies Q_0 . For $i = 1, \ldots, k$ we color f_i depending on the condition satisfied by $c(G_i)$.



Figure 8: Graph G_{i+1} after coloring f_i when $c(G_i)$ satisfies: Q_1 and (a) $|f_i| = 1$ or (b) $|f_i| > 1$; Q_2 and (c) $|f_i| = o$, or $|f_i| = e$ and (d) $|f_{i+1}| = 0$, (e) $|f_{i+1}| = 1$, or (f) $f_{i+1} > 1$. Shaded regions represent G_i . Bold edges connect vertices with the same color, while spine edges are dashed.

Coloring $c(\mathbf{G}_i)$ satisfies \mathbf{Q}_0 : Independently of the cardinality of f_i , we color its vertices with alternating colors so that $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$. In this way, the only possible colored edges are incident to v_i and not to v_{i+1} . So, $c(G_{i+1})$ satisfies condition Q_1 .

Coloring $c(G_i)$ satisfies Q_1 : In this case we distinguish the following subcases, based on the cardinality of f_i .

- If $|f_i| = 0$, we have that i = k and hence $G_k = G$. It follows that $c(G_k)$ is a (star, 2)-coloring of G.
- If $|f_i| = 1$ (that is, f_i contains only v_{i+1} ; see Figure 8a), we set $c_{i+1} = c_i$. Since the only neighbor of v_{i+1} in G_{i+1} different from v_i is v_{i-1} , whose color is $c_{i-1} \neq c_i$, and since v_i has no neighbor with color c_i other than v_{i+1} , by condition Q_1 , coloring $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_2 .
- If $|f_i| > 1$ (see Figure 8b), we color the vertices in f_i with alternating colors so that $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$. This implies that every colored edge of G_{i+1} not belonging to G_i is incident either to v_i , if its color is c_i , or to v_{i-1} , if its color is c_{i-1} ; the latter case only happens if $|f_i|$ is odd. Thus, v_i (resp. v_{i-1}) is the center of a star of color c_i (resp. c_{i-1}) in G_{i+1} . Since v_i has no neighbor with color c_i in G_i , while v_{i-1} is a center also in G_i , coloring $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring. Finally, since v_{i+1} has no neighbors with color $c_i(G_{i+1})$ satisfies condition Q_1 .

Coloring $c(G_i)$ satisfies Q_2 : We again distinguish subcases based on $|f_i|$.

- If $|f_i| = 0$, we have that i = k and hence $c(G_k)$ is a (star, 2)-coloring of $G = G_k$.
- If $|f_i|$ is odd, including the case $|f_i| = 1$ (see Figure 8c), we color the vertices of f_i with alternating colors in such a way that $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$. By construction, $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_1 .
- If $|f_i|$ is even and different from 0, instead, we have to consider the cardinality of f_{i+1} in order to decide the coloring of f_i . We distinguish three subcases:



Figure 9: Graph G_{i+1} after coloring f_i when $c(G_i)$ satisfies: Q_3 and (a) $|f_i| = 1$, or (b) $|f_i| = e$; Q_4 (c); or Q_5 (d). Shaded regions represent G_i . Bold edges connect vertices with the same color, while spine edges are dashed.

- $|f_{i+1}| = 0$: Note that in this case i = k holds (see Figure 8d). We color the vertices of f_i with alternating colors so that $c_{i+1} = c_i$. Note that the unique neighbor of v_{i-1} in f_i has color different from c_{i-1} , since $|f_i|$ is even. Hence, all the new colored edges are incident to v_i , which implies that $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_2 .
- $|f_{i+1}| = 1$: Note that i < k and f_{i+1} only contains v_{i+2} (see Figure 8e). We color the vertices of f_i with alternating colors so that $c_{i+1} = c_i$. Since (i) all the new colored edges are incident to v_i , (ii) v_i and v_{i-1} have no neighbor with their same color in G_i (apart from each other), (iii) $c_{i+1} = c_i$, and (iv) i < k, we have that $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_5 .
- $|f_{i+1}| > 1$: Note that i < k (see Figure 8f). Independently of whether $|f_{i+1}|$ is even or odd, we color the vertices of f_i so that $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$, the unique neighbor of v_{i+1} different from v_i has also color c_{i+1} , and all the other vertices have alternating colors. Since each new colored edge is incident to either v_i or v_{i+1} , since $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$, and since i < k, coloring $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_4 .

Coloring $c(G_i)$ satisfies Q_3 :

- If $|f_i| = 0$, we have that i = k and hence $c(G_k)$ is a (star, 2)-coloring of $G = G_k$.
- If $|f_i| = 1$ (that is, f_i contains only v_{i+1} ; see Figure 9a), we set $c_{i+1} = c_i$. As in the analogous case in which $c(G_i)$ satisfies condition Q_1 , we can prove that $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring which satisfies condition Q_2 .
- If $|f_i|$ is even and different from 0 (see Figure 9b), we color the vertices of f_i with alternating colors in such a way that $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$. By construction, $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring which satisfies condition Q_1 .
- If $|f_i|$ is odd and different from 1, we again consider the cardinality of f_{i+1} in order to decide the coloring of f_i . For the four possible classes of values of $|f_{i+1}|$, the coloring strategy and the condition satisfied by the resulting coloring $c(G_{i+1})$ are the same as for the analogous case in which $c(G_i)$ satisfies Q_2 and $|f_i|$ is even.

Coloring $c(\mathbf{G}_i)$ satisfies \mathbf{Q}_4 : Note that $|f_i| > 0$, given that i < k, and $|f_i| \neq 1$, by condition Q_4 . Independently of whether $|f_i|$ is even or odd (see Figure 9c), we color the vertices in f_i with alternating colors so that $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$. In this way, the only possible colored edges are incident to v_{i-1} and to v_i , which are both centers of a star already in G_i , and not to v_{i+1} . Hence, $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_1 .

Coloring $c(\mathbf{G}_i)$ satisfies \mathbf{Q}_5 : Note that $|f_i| = 1$, by condition Q_5 (that is, f_i only contains v_{i+1} ; see Figure 9d). We set $c_{i+1} \neq c_i$; clearly, $c(G_{i+1})$ is a (star, 2)-coloring satisfying condition Q_3 .

Observe that the running time of the algorithm is linear in the number of vertices of G. In fact, at each step $i = 1, \ldots, k$, the condition Q_j satisfied by $c(G_i)$ and the cardinalities of f_i and f_{i+1} are known (the cardinality of all the fans can be precomputed in advance), and the coloring strategy to obtain $c(G_{i+1})$ and the condition satisfied by this coloring are uniquely determined by these information in constant time.

5 Conclusions

In this work we presented algorithmic and complexity results for the (edge, κ)coloring and the (star, κ)-coloring problems, with $\kappa \in \{2, 3\}$, which ask for the existence of defective κ -colorings of given graphs in which every monochromatic component is an edge and is a star, respectively. There exist several open questions raised by our work.

- What is the complexity of the (edge, 3)-coloring problem for planar graphs of maximum vertex-degree 6?
- What is the complexity of the (star, 3)-coloring problem for (planar) graphs of maximum vertex-degree 6,7,8?
- Is it possible to extend our linear-time testing algorithms from Section 3 to efficiently test (edge, 2)-colorability and (star, 2)-colorability of every graph of bounded treewidth? We recall that Courcelle's theorem [7] already provides a linear-time testing algorithm for these graphs, which is however unusable in practice due to the large constant factors.
- Are there other classes of graphs, besides the outerpaths, that are always (star, 2)-colorable, e.g., outerplanar graphs with maximum vertex-degree 4?
- One possible way to expand the class of graphs that admit defective colorings is to allow larger values on the diameter of the monochromatic components.
- The variant of the problem that minimizes the total number of defective edges is of interest; it is related to the max-cut and the k-partization problems.

Acknowledgement: This work started at the Graph and Network Visualization (GNV) session of IISA '15. Research supported in part by the MIUR project AMANDA, prot. 2012C4E3KT_001, by NSERC Canada, and by DFG grant Ka812/17-1. We thanks the anonymous reviewers of this paper for their valuable suggestions.

References

- N. Alon, G. Ding, B. Oporowski, and D. Vertigan. Partitioning into graphs with only small components. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 87(2):231–243, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0095-8956(02)00006-0.
- [2] J. Andrews and M. S. Jacobson. On a generalization of chromatic number. Congressus Numerantium, 47:33–48, 1985.
- [3] D. Archdeacon. A note on defective colorings of graphs in surfaces. Journal of Graph Theory, 11(4):517-519, 1987. doi:10.1002/jgt.3190110408.
- [4] M. J. Bannister and D. Eppstein. Crossing minimization for 1-page and 2-page drawings of graphs with bounded treewidth. In C. A. Duncan and A. Symvonis, editors, *Graph Drawing*, volume 8871 of *LNCS*, pages 210– 221. Springer, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-45803-7_18.
- H. L. Bodlaender. A partial k-arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 209(1-2):1-45, 1998. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3975(97)00228-4.
- [6] G. Chartrand and L. M. Lesniak. Graphs and digraphs. Wadsworth, 1986.
- B. Courcelle. The monadic second-order logic of graphs. I. Recognizable sets of finite graphs. *Information and Computation*, 85(1):12–75, 1990. doi:10.1016/0890-5401(90)90043-H.
- [8] L. Cowen, W. Goddard, and C. E. Jesurum. Coloring with defect. In M. E. Saks, editor, SODA, pages 548–557. ACM/SIAM, 1997.
- [9] L. Cowen, W. Goddard, and C. E. Jesurum. Defective coloring revisited. Journal of Graph Theory, 24(3):205-219, 1997. doi:10.1002/(SICI) 1097-0118(199703)24:3<205::AID-JGT2>3.0.C0;2-T.
- [10] L. J. Cowen, R. Cowen, and D. R. Woodall. Defective colorings of graphs in surfaces: Partitions into subgraphs of bounded valency. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 10(2):187–195, 1986. doi:10.1002/jgt.3190100207.
- [11] D. P. Dailey. Uniqueness of colorability and colorability of planar 4-regular graphs are NP-complete. *Discrete Mathematics*, 30(3):289–293, 1980. doi: 10.1016/0012-365X(80)90236-8.
- [12] G. Ding and B. Oporowski. On tree-partitions of graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 149(1-3):45–58, 1996. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(94)00337-I.
- [13] P. Dorbec, M. Montassier, and P. Ochem. Vertex partitions of graphs into cographs and stars. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 75(1):75–90, 2014. doi: 10.1002/jgt.21724.

- [14] A. Edelman, A. Hassidim, H. N. Nguyen, and K. Onak. An efficient partitioning oracle for bounded-treewidth graphs. In L. A. Goldberg, K. Jansen, R. Ravi, and J. D. P. Rolim, editors, *APPROX*, volume 6845 of *LNCS*, pages 530–541. Springer, 2011. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-22935-0_45.
- [15] L. Esperet and G. Joret. Colouring planar graphs with three colours and no large monochromatic components. *Combinatorics, Probability & Computing*, 23(4):551–570, 2014. doi:10.1017/S0963548314000170.
- [16] M. R. Fellows, F. V. Fomin, D. Lokshtanov, F. A. Rosamond, S. Saurabh, S. Szeider, and C. Thomassen. On the complexity of some colorful problems parameterized by treewidth. *Information and Computation*, 209(2):143– 153, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.ic.2010.11.026.
- [17] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
- [18] A. Garg and G. Liotta. Almost bend-optimal planar orthogonal drawings of biconnected degree-3 planar graphs in quadratic time. In J. Kratochvíl, editor, *Graph Drawing*, volume 1731 of *LNCS*, pages 38–48. Springer, 1999. doi:10.1007/3-540-46648-7_4.
- [19] G. Gonthier. Formal proof The four-color theorem. Notices of the American Mathematical Society, 55(11):13821393, 2008.
- [20] F. Hadlock. Finding a maximum cut of a planar graph in polynomial time. SIAM Journal on Computing, 4(3):221–225, 1975. doi:10.1137/0204019.
- [21] F. Harary and K. Jones. Conditional colorability II: Bipartite variations. Congressus Numerantium, 50:205–218, 1985.
- [22] P. E. Haxell, T. Szabó, and G. Tardos. Bounded size components-partitions and transversals. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 88(2):281– 297, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0095-8956(03)00031-5.
- [23] F. K. Hwang, D. S. Richards, and P. Winter. *The Steiner tree problem*. Annals of discrete mathematics. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1992.
- [24] R. M. Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In Complexity of Computer Computations, The IBM Research Symposia Series, pages 85– 103. Springer, 1972. doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-2001-2_9.
- [25] A. Langer, F. Reidl, P. Rossmanith, and S. Sikdar. Practical algorithms for MSO model-checking on tree-decomposable graphs. *Computer Science Review*, 13-14:39–74, 2014. doi:10.1016/j.cosrev.2014.08.001.
- [26] L. Lovász. On decomposition of graphs. Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, 1:237–238, 1966.

- [27] P. Maritz and S. Mouton. Francis Guthrie: A colourful life. The Mathematical Intelligencer, 34(3):67–75, 2012. doi:10.1007/s00283-012-9307-y.
- [28] E. N. and T. Hull. Defective list colorings of planar graphs. Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications, 25:79–87, 1999.
- [29] J. Nešetřil and P. O. De Mendez. Structural properties of sparse graphs. In M. Grötschel, G. O. H. Katona, and G. Sági, editors, *Building Bridges: Be*tween Mathematics and Computer Science, pages 369–426. Springer, 2008. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-85221-6_13.
- [30] C. H. Papadimitriou. Computational complexity. Academic Internet Publ., 2007.
- [31] P. M. Pardalos, T. Mavridou, and J. Xue. The Graph Coloring Problem: A Bibliographic Survey, pages 1077–1141. Springer US, 1999. doi:10.1007/ 978-1-4613-0303-9_16.
- [32] A. Proskurowski and M. M. Sysło. Efficient vertex- and edge-coloring of outerplanar graphs. SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods, 7(1):131– 136, 1986. doi:10.1137/0607016.
- [33] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. III. planar tree-width. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 36(1):49-64, 1984. doi:10. 1016/0095-8956(84)90013-3.
- [34] K. Takamizawa, T. Nishizeki, and N. Saito. Linear-time computability of combinatorial problems on series-parallel graphs. *Journal of the ACM*, 29(3):623–641, 1982. doi:10.1145/322326.322328.
- [35] D. R. Wood. On tree-partition-width. European Journal of Combinatorics, 30(5):1245-1253, 2009. doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2008.11.010.